



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

 P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,249	02/20/2001	Akira Suga	35.C10252 DII	6806	
5514	7590 02/07/2005		EXAMINER		
	ICK CELLA HARPER	HO, TUAN V			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/07/2008		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/785,249	SUGA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan V Ho	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	arch 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 70-82 is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70-82</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  US Patent and Trademark Office	6)						

- 1. The restriction requirement has been withdrawn due to a preliminary amendments filed on 3/20/01.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Claim 82 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "to the control apparatus which is inhibited from controlling the camera" should be deleted.

  Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 79 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/785,249
Art Unit: 2615

Claim 79 is vague and indefinite since the term "the step of displaying" and "the step of designating" lack antecedent basis.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 70-82 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 7-13, 21-25 and 27 of U. S. Patent No. 6,313,875 Bl since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/785,249
Art Unit: 2615

With regard to claim 70, claim 7 of the patent discloses the apparatus for communicating with a camera through a communication system (video system comprises control devices controlling a camera via network), connecting device (a connecting apparatus is inherently included in the system so as to connect the control devices to the network), and control device (control means; it is noted that claimed "inhibit others of said plurality of control apparatuses" and "preventing control devices of said plurality of control devices" are considered as a variation that is equivalent in claim functions and substantially the same in scope).

With regard to claims 71, 72, 73, and 74, claims 8, 9, 10, and 11 of the patent encompass all the limitations of claims 71, 72, 73 and 74.

With regard to claim 75, claims 10 and 12 of the patent encompass all the limitations of claim 75.

With regard to claim 76, Claim 14 of the patent discloses a predetermined control device; where the predetermined device is considered the same as claimed "one of said plurality of control apparatus".

With regard to method claim 77, 78, 80 and 81, claims 21, 22, 24 and 25 encompass all the limitations of claims 77, 78, 80 and 81.

Art Unit: 2615

With regard to claim 82, claim 27 of the patent encompasses all the limitations of claim 82. Noted that claimed "one of the plurality of control apparatuses" is used to control the camera; where the others of control apparatus are inherently inhibited from controlling the camera.

With regard to claim 79, claim 23 of the patent encompasses all the limitations of claim 79 (as best understood).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Elberbaum discloses a plurality of cameras that generate coded signals.

Sakui discloses a plurality of cameras that can record images at different angles.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN HO

Application/Control Number: 09/785,249 Page 6

Art Unit: 2615

whose telephone number is (703) 305-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7AM to 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (703) 305-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

TUAN HO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2615